

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/587,387	MATSUMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Virginia Manoharan	1764	

All Participants:

(1) Virginia Manoharan.

(2) Matthew Jacob.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112

Claims discussed:

11-18 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to amend claim 36 with respect to 112 rejection; and to cancel the non-elected claims 11-18 so as to place the case in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)